

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/808,191	03/24/2004		Ronald M. Wallach	49386//58967 CON 2 (US)	4586	
21874	7590	05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS of P.O. BOX 558		MARMOR II, CHARLES ALAN				
BOSTON, MA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3736		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	C					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/808,191	WALLACH, RONALI	D M.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Charles A. Marmor, II	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprollowing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in bliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
 a)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the		r is later. In no				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI		OWT NIHTIW C				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any solution in Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a North note in the affidation of the affidation	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	:hed.				

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Charles A. Marmor, II Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3736

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments attempt to add new limitations to the independent claims in order to define the claimed invention over the prior art by the manner in which the sampling member obtains a sample. The claims recite the limitations "a mop-like sample collecting member" or "a mop-like sampling head". Theses limitations appear to attempt to use "mop-like" in order to define the structure of the sample collecting member. Nothing in the claims as previously considered, prior to the proposed amendments, requires that the mop-like sample collecting member or head collect samples "through a mopping action." Since the manner in which the respective claimed sample collecting members obtains samples was not previously considered, the proposed amendments raise new issues that would require at least further consideration.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The obviousness-type double patenting rejections as being unpatentable over at least one of U.S. Patent No. 6,740,049 and U.S. Patent No. 6,387,058.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has provided twelve pages of remarks arguing against the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102; however, the majority of Applicant's arguments hinge on the manner in which the sample collecting member obtains samples, as defined in the proposed amendments that have not been entered. Since the proposed amendments have not been entered, the corresponding arguments will not be addressed at this time.